

STATE OF MICHIGAN
COURT OF APPEALS

In the Matter of RAYMOND DOUGLAS JARRETT,
Minor.

FAMILY INDEPENDENCE AGENCY,

Petitioner-Appellee,

v

LATANJA KERENISA GLOVER,

Respondent-Appellant,

and

RAYMOND DOUGLAS JARRETT,

Respondent.

UNPUBLISHED

December 3, 1999

No. 218620

Wayne Circuit Court

Family Division

LC No. 94-319160

Before: Jansen, P.J., and Hoekstra and J. R. Cooper*, JJ.

MEMORANDUM.

Respondent-appellant appeals as of right from a family court order terminating her parental rights to the minor child under MCL 712A.19b(3)(c)(i), (g), (i) and (j); MSA 27.3178(598.19b)(3)(c)(i), (g), (i) and (j). We affirm. This case is being decided without oral argument pursuant to MCR 7.214(E).

In reviewing respondent-appellant's claim, we note initially that the record fails to indicate that respondent-appellant sought judicial review of the referee's findings and recommendations in accordance with MCR 5.991. Nonetheless, we are satisfied from the record that the referee did not clearly err in finding that the statutory grounds for termination were established by clear and convincing evidence. MCR 5.974(I); *In re Sours*, 459 Mich 624, 633; 593 NW2d 520 (1999); *In re Miller*,

* Circuit judge, sitting on the Court of Appeals by assignment.

433 Mich 331, 337; 445 NW2d 161 (1989). We find that this case is factually distinguishable from *In re Newman*, 189 Mich App 61; 472 NW2d 38 (1991). Further, respondent-appellant failed to show that termination of her parental rights was clearly not in the child's best interests. MCL 712A.19b(5); MSA 27.3178(598.19b)(5); *In re Hall-Smith*, 222 Mich App 470, 472-473; 564 NW2d 156 (1997). Thus, we uphold the judge's decision terminating respondent's parental rights based on the written recommendation of the referee. Petitioner's request for relief under MCR 7.215(E) is denied.

Affirmed.

/s/ Kathleen Jansen

/s/ Joel P. Hoekstra

/s/ Jessica R. Cooper